UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,511	09/29/2006	Shinji Yasuhara	4731-0136PUS1	9948
2292 7590 03/31/2011 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHARGEL VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VU Q	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3657		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,511	YASUHARA ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	VU Q. NGUYEN	3657	

	VU Q. NGUYEN	3657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, which places the e with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL	aman with 07 OFD 44 07 mount be					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed to AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NC	PTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better</li><li>appeal; and/or</li></ul>	.,					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-				
<ul> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.         The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:         Claim(s) allowed:         Claim(s) objected to:         Claim(s) rejected: 3,4,6,7,10 and 11.         Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:     </li> </ul>						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						
/Robert A. Siconolfi/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the specification supplies some standard for measuring the scope of the phrase "readily abraded" and that the prior art does not disclose a coating that is readily abraded. Applicant appears to rely on paragraphs 0039-0041 of the specification, which discloses that the coating material is "readily abraded in a small number of flexions of the chain" and is "more prone to abrade than the material constituting the link plate 2, such as carbon steel, or have such a low adhesion as to be easily separated." Of such standards asserted by Applicant for measuring the scope of the phrase "readily abraded," at least some of them use relative terms of degree such as "small" and "low," which have not been defined. Exactly what constitutes a "small" number of flexions of the chain, or "low" adhesion? Accordingly, such standards can be considered inadequate due to being vague and indefinite, and thus, when taken together and considered as a whole with the rest of the specification, render the scope of the phrase "readily abraded" indefinite. Thus, contrary to Applicant's assertions, since the specification does not fully clarify the scope of the phrase "readily abraded," the Examiner maintains that the claims should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

It should be noted that Applicant merely asserts that the coating of Urata is not readily abraded without any clear explanation. Like Applicant's preferred readily abraded coating, the coating of Urata is formed by a zinc-phosphate coating process (see column 4, lines 1-14) followed by a stearate lubrication coating process (see column 4, lines 19-21; column 8, lines 27-30 and 42-43). The Examiner assumes that such materials have properties which facilitate the coating being readily abraded, as best understood. Thus, it is still unclear exactly how Applicant's coating material is distinct from Urata's coating material. Furthermore, the Examiner maintains that the coating of Urata is inherently subject to wear and is thus capable of being abraded or separated at least to some degree. For at least these reasons, the Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).